

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/047,795 10/23/2001 Eric Paul Plourde ITW-13131 5623 02/17/2004 **EXAMINER** 23566 7590 OSTRAGER CHONG & FLAHERTY LLP HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE 825 THIRD AVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 30TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7519 3727

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

en e		α	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/047,795	PLOURDE ET AL.	
Advicery Medicin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the chan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	,
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	` ' ' ==	•	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statements. Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	- Palail	

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 19/047,795





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitation directed to the configuration of the closure components in a relaxed and interlocked state has not been previously considered and does not clearly place the application in condition for allowance.